FOR REPORTERS & PUBLIC REVIEWERS

One question. An inspectable record.

This is a reporting starter and source map—not a claim that an official endorses JTforME, that an investigation has been completed, or that a statewide failure rate has been measured.

THE NARROW QUESTION

What public-service promise are you testing? Identify the exact duty, policy or deliverable.

THE SOURCE CHAIN

Promise → responsible actor → actual action → remaining unknowns → correction after notice → verification of completion.

THE NECESSARY QUALIFICATION

Distinguish official findings, party statements, your analysis and proposed reforms. A referral is not a result; an allegation is not a finding.

PUBLIC STARTING POINTS

JTforME.com/does-it-work-now/ — the public agenda.
JTforME.com/service-delivery-briefs/ — the repeatable reporting format.
JTforME.com/public-record-library — preserved sources and context.
JTforME.com/standards — publication standards and boundaries.

Start with Brief 01: An order is not an outcome. A public-source baseline—not a statewide scorecard. Contact and corrections: JTforME.com/contact. Do not send confidential children's records.

SOURCE REGISTER / BLANK WORKING COPY

What would make the claim verifiable?

Proposition

State the narrow claim and the date range it covers.

Primary public source

Document title, issuing office, publication date, stable URL or reference and relevant page/section.

Status and limitation

Official record, allegation, inference or proposal? What does this source not establish?

Contrary evidence and right of reply

What would challenge the account? When and how was the responsible office asked to respond?

Correction and verification

What changed after notice? Who verified it, and where is the correction recorded?

Working-copy warning: keep protected or identifying material in an appropriate secure channel. A request for a reply is not proof of acceptance, misconduct or institutional endorsement.